Danish Economic Council

Torben M. Andersen Aarhus University

History

- Established in 1962
- Idea: Forum for income settlements
- Structure:
 - Independent economists: state of the economic room for wage increases etc.
 (three chairmen = three vicemen)
 - Council: representatives from various organisations, government and central bank to discuss and reach consensus

The orginal idea was a failure

Structure maintained and survived

- Role as an independent voice on economic policy
 - Government/policy makers
 - Ministries
 - Private organisations/institutions

Mandate

 Monitor the economic development and examine the long term development potential and contribute to coordination of different economic interests

 (2007)Examine the interplay between economic and environmental factors and the effectiveness of environmental policies

Output

- Two reports each year –The Danish Economy
 - Part I: Business cycle situation and forecast, and policy evaluation
 - Part II: Specific issue (Productivity, health, pensions, taxes, education, income distribution.....)
- One report: Economy and the Environment (since 2007)
 - + one more viceman (specialist on environment)

Resources

- Staff: +- 30 persons (+-20 with a degree in economics)
- Budget: approx DKK 25 mill kr.
- Runs and maintains a macromodel used for business cycle forecasts (SMECmodel)
- Outsource some analyses (e.g. Fiscal sustainability – DREAM model)

Format

- Chairmen (4, earlier 3)
 - independency (decides on topics and policy conclusions)
 - responsible for content of reports
- Reports are presented to Council before they are made public - Discussion at the Council meeting
- Council member have their comments published as an appendix to the report
- Nb different councils for economic and environmental reports

The role of the council meeting

- Discussion at the meeting does not change content or conclusions of the report
- Member organisations take the meeting serious and they issue a press statement with their views on the report/current economic situation
- Intense media coverage

Independence

- Chairmanship works independently
- Formally a unit under the ministry of economic affairs, but de facto independent
- Fixed but renewable appointment period (3 ys) overlapping
- A new chairman is proposed by the existing chairmen

Reputation

- Established over the years track record for solid and independent work
- Strong media focus/exposure
- Political attention:
 - Power holders in focus (checks and balances)
 - Opposition and media find ammunition in the reports, the government finds them too critical!!
 - Changing governments: alternating roles!
 - Clear to the public that there is no partisan bias

Economics vs. politics

Thin borderline

 Important that statements are supported by analysis

Reported in writting – arguments can be discussed and evaluated

Impact

- Specific proposals (fiscal policy...)
- Information/discussion
 - Disciplining debate
 - Protecting civil servants
- Damage control
- Paving the way for structural reforms

Power

 Reports are formally submitted to the government

No formal power

- Government/Minister of Finance has no formal responsibility to comment on the report
- Power via impact of arguments

 In practice – the government has to respond – strong pressure from the media

Implicit fiscal council

All reports deal with current fiscal policy,
 i.e. stabilisation policy

 For the last +/- 10 years the issue of fiscal sustainability has been monitored and discussed

Conclusion

 Specific structure is an outcome of a historical process – not easy to copy

 Formal structure is vulnerable – in practice it is not, due to strong visibility and reputation

High political cost of interfering with the independence of the council